S = 1.037	Extinction correction: none
2495 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
151 parameters	from International Tables
Methyl H atoms refined as	for Crystallography (1992,
rigid groups, others riding	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0463P)^2]$	6.1.1.4)
+ 0.5608 <i>P</i> ]	
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Table	1.	Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	equival	lent
		isotropic di	splacem	ent paramete	ers (A	Å <sup>2</sup> )	

### $U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
Si1	0.53781 (4)	0.09933 (4)	0.05492 (2)	0.02092 (13)
N1	0.44462 (11)	0.09563 (12)	-0.04499 (6)	0.0201 (3)
Cl	0.43712 (16)	0.16566 (18)	0.13365 (8)	0.0319 (3)
C2	0.70274 (16)	0.20907 (17)	0.07048 (9)	0.0321 (3)
C11	0.38136 (14)	0.21337 (14)	-0.09760 (7)	0.0209 (3)
C12	0.24128 (14)	0.25562 (15)	-0.09808 (8)	0.0244 (3)
C13	0.18406 (16)	0.37400(17)	-0.14867 (9)	0.0309 (3)
C14	0.26048 (16)	0.45051 (18)	-0.19832 (9)	0.0333 (3)
C15	0.39685 (16)	0.40832 (16)	-0.19810 (9)	0.0296 (3)
C16	0.45944 (14)	0.29083 (15)	-0.14927 (8)	0.0238 (3)
C121	0.14866 (14)	0.17733 (16)	-0.04575 (8)	0.0255 (3)
C122	0.02672 (16)	0.09664 (18)	-0.09988 (9)	0.0328 (3)
C123	0.09385 (16)	0.28845 (18)	0.01226 (9)	0.0335 (3)
C161	0.61026(15)	0.25177 (16)	-0.15315 (9)	0.0277 (3)
C162	0.70550(17)	0.38758 (18)	-0.12837 (11)	0.0391 (4)
C163	0.62561 (19)	0.1965 (2)	-0.23888 (10)	0.0431 (4)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

	0	-	
Sil—NI	1.7435 (11)	Sil—Cl	1.8581 (15)
Si1—N1	1.7479 (12)	N1-C11	1.4286 (16)
Sil—C2	1.8559 (16)		
NI <sup>i</sup> —Si1—N1	86.28 (5)	C11—N1—Sil <sup>1</sup>	134.60 (9)
NI <sup>i</sup> -Si1-C2	115.71 (6)	C11-N1-Si1	131.67 (9)
N1-Si1-C2	115.92 (6)	Sil <sup>i</sup> —NI—Sil	93.72 (5)
NI <sup>i</sup> —Sil—Cl	116.80 (6)	C12C11NI	120.69 (12)
N1—Si1—C1	114.98 (6)	C16-C11-N1	120.18 (12)
C2—Si1—C1	106.59 (7)		

Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, -y, -z.

Data were collected according to the learnt-profile method (Clegg, 1983). Corrections for background, decay, Lorentz and polarization factors were included in the data reduction using *REDU4* (Stoe & Cie, 1990b). The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by least-squares techniques. Non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The positions of the H atoms were refined using a riding model. Most calculations were conducted on an IBM *RS*6000.

Data collection: *DIF*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1990*a*). Cell refinement: *DIF*4. Data reduction: *REDU*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1990*b*). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1992). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*93.

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. SAAS thanks the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung for a postdoctoral fellowship. PL thanks the European Community for a postdoctoral grant (BBW 94.0162 CHBG CT 940731). Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: JZ1138). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

## References

- Bihatsi, L., Hencsei, P. & Parkanyi, L. (1981). J. Organomet. Chem. 219, 145-151.
- Clegg, W. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.
- Clegg, W., Klingebiel, U., Sheldrick, G. M. & Vater, N. (1981). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 482, 88–94.
- Elias, A. J., Roesky, H. W., Robinson, W. T. & Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 495-500.
- Elias, A. J., Schmidt, H.-G., Noltemeyer, M. & Roesky, H. W. (1992). Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. 29, 23–42.
- Gosink, H.-J., Roesky, H. W., Schmidt, H.-G., Noltemeyer, M., Irmer, E. & Herbst-Irmer, R. (1994). Organometallics, 13, 3420-3426.
- Kottke, T. & Stalke, D. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 615-619.
- Parkanyi, L., Argay, G., Hencsei, P. & Nagy, J. (1976). J. Organomet. Chem. 116, 299-305.
- Parkanyi, L., Bihatsi, L. & Hencsei, P. (1994). Z. Kristallogr. 209, 905-906.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1992). SHELXTL/PC. Version 4.3. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1990a). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Version 7.09/DOS. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1990b). REDU4. Data Reduction Program. Version 7.03/DOS. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Szollosy, A., Parkanyi, L., Bihatsi, L. & Hencsei, P. (1983). J. Organomet. Chem. 251, 159-166.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2811-2814

## 3,3,6,6-Tetramethyl-9-(2-nitrophenyl)-3,4,5,6,9,10-hexahydroacridine-1,8(2*H*,7*H*)dione

MARCO BRITO-ARIAS,<sup>*a*</sup> GUADALUPE RAMIREZ,<sup>*a*</sup> RUTH E. RIVAS,<sup>*a*</sup> ELIES MOLINS<sup>*b*</sup> AND WALDEMAR MANIUKIEWICZ<sup>*b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Unidad Profesional Interdisciplinaria de Biotecnología-IPN, Av. Acueducto s/n Col. Barrio la Laguna Ticomán CP 07340, México DF, México, and <sup>b</sup>Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona, Campus de la UAB, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain. E-mail: waldemar@icmab.es

(Received 7 May 1996; accepted 25 June 1996)

#### Abstract

The title compound,  $C_{23}H_{26}N_2O_4$ , consists of a partially hydrogenated acridine moiety with one 2-nitrophenyl substituent on the central ring. The acridine nucleus suf-

fers considerable deviations from planarity. The central ring is in a distorted boat conformation, while the two outer rings adopt almost ideal envelope conformations. The phenyl ring of the 2-nitrophenyl substituent is perpendicular to the acridine ring system. Molecules are held together by C—H···O hydrogen bonds.

#### Comment

Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors continue to be the therapeutic strategy of choice for patients with Alzheimer's disease (Shutske *et al.*, 1989; Schipchandler & Mattingly, 1990; Reisch & Gunaherath, 1993). Among the variety of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, the acridine derivatives are one of the most efficient drugs, since they display potent action with high tolerance. In a previous report, we presented the synthesis and X-ray analysis of the potential anti-Alzheimer drug 3,4-dihydro-3,3dimethyl-1(2*H*)-acridone (Martínez, Espinosa-Pérez & Brito-Arias, 1995) and as a continuation of these studies we report here the synthesis and X-ray structure analysis of the related compound 3,3,6,6-tetramethyl-9-(2-nitrophenyl)-3,4,5,6,9,10-hexahydroacridine-1,8(2*H*,7*H*)dione, (6).



Reagents and conditions: (i) KOH/EtOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, reflux, 2h; (ii) Fe-AcOH/EtOH, RT, 5h; (iii) NaN<sub>3</sub>-HCl/THF, RT, 3h.

A perspective view of the title molecule, together with the atomic numbering scheme, is shown in Fig. 1. Bond distances and angles of the acridine skeleton are in agreement with those of related acridine derivatives (Bundule et al., 1980; Selladurai, Subramanian & Natarajan, 1989; Selladuraj, Subramanjan & Ramakrishnan, 1990; Sivaraman, Subramanian, Velmurugan, Subramanian & Ramakrishnan, 1994; Sivaraman, Subramanian, Velmurugan, Subramanian & Shanmugasundram, 1996). Both outer six-membered rings of the acridine moiety adopt almost ideal envelope conformations, with puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975) Q = 0.462(2) and 0.461(2) Å,  $\theta = 123.5(2)$  and  $123.8(2)^{\circ}$ , and  $\varphi = 300.6(3)$  and  $298.5(3)^{\circ}$ . In contrast, the central ring is less regular. Its conformation may be best described as a distorted boat, with puckering parameters Q = 0.094 (1) Å,  $\theta = 103.8 (1)^{\circ}$  and  $\varphi =$ 352.4 (1)°. The planar phenyl ring of the 2-nitrophenyl substituent is perpendicular to the acridine moiety, with the dihedral angle between their least-squares planes being 89.04 (7)°. The mean  $C_{sp^2}$ — $C_{sp^2}$  bond length within this ring is 1.386(2) Å. The intra- and intermolecular C-H···O interactions (Desiraju, 1991) that contribute to the stabilization of the molecular and crystal structure are summarized in Table 3.



Fig. 1. The structure and labelling scheme for compound (6). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

#### **Experimental**

The synthetic approach used consisted of the initial condensation between dimedone, (1), and 2-nitrobenzaldehyde, (2), in a basic medium. Unexpectedly, the condensation product was not compound (3) as was reported previously (Martínez *et al.*, 1995), but the tetraketone (4). This finding was supported not only by means of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and MS spectrometry, but also by similar dimeric structures found in the literature (Greenhill, 1977; Shanmugasundram, Murugan, Ramakrishnan, Srividya & Ramamurthy, 1996). It may be noted that compound (4) is a common starting material for the preparation of compounds (5) and (6). Conversion of compound (4)

C6

to compound (5) involves the loss of one dimedone moiety as a consequence of the aromatization of the acridone ring. Compound (5) is thus readily prepared from compound (4) under reducing conditions (Fe/AcOH), while compound (6) is obtained in 40% yield when compound (4) is treated with NaN<sub>3</sub>/HCl. For compound (6), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$ 1.0 (6H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.1 (6H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.1 (4H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.4 (4H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.4 (1H, s, CH), 7.1-7.7 p.p.m. (4H, s, aromatic). IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\nu$  1357 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1529 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1624 cm<sup>-1</sup> (CO). MS m/z: 395 (M<sup>+</sup>), 273.

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

Cell parameters from 25

 $0.55 \times 0.48 \times 0.36$  mm

 $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ 

reflections

 $\theta = 16.04 - 19.42^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 0.088 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 

T = 293 (2) K

Irregular

Colourless

#### Crystal data

 $C_{23}H_{26}N_2O_4$  $M_r = 394.46$ Monoclinic C2/ca = 26.542(4) Å b = 10.089(1) Å c = 20.922 (3) Å  $\beta = 133.07 (2)^{\circ}$ V = 4092.8 (8) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 8 $D_x = 1.280 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m$  not measured

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 3965 observed reflections diffractometer  $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  scans  $\theta_{\rm max} = 29.98^{\circ}$ Absorption correction:  $h = -37 \rightarrow 27$ empirical using azimuthal  $(\psi)$  scans (North, Phillips  $k = 0 \rightarrow 14$  $l = 0 \rightarrow 29$ & Mathews, 1968)  $T_{\min} = 0.90, T_{\max} = 0.99$ 3 standard reflections 7010 measured reflections 5957 independent reflections

# Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{ma}$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0625$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} =$
$wR(F^2) = 0.2188$	$\Delta \rho_{\min} =$
S = 0.996	Extinction
5076 reflections	Atomic :
266 parameters	from 1
H atoms riding	for Cr
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_a^2) + (0.0972P)^2]$	Vol. C
+ 3.6916 <i>P</i> ]	6.1.1.4
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

monitored every 100 reflections

intensity decay: 0.9%

C1	0.32343 (6)	0.81284 (14)	-0.05321 (7)	0.0403 (3)
C2	0.37179(7)	0.9261 (2)	-0.02492 (9)	0.0495 (4)
C3	0.44488 (6)	0.90732 (15)	0.06343 (8)	0.0446 (3)
C31	0.48206(8)	0.7981 (2)	0.05830(12)	0.0721 (5)
C32	0.48544 (8)	1.0370(2)	0.09296(11)	0.0666 (5)
C4	0.44040(7)	0.8688(2)	0.12999 (8)	0.0498 (4)
C4a	0.39132 (6)	0.75805 (14)	0.09809 (7)	0.0396 (3)
C4b	0.36573 (6)	0.58449 (14)	0.14760 (7)	0.0418 (3)
C5	0.38781 (7)	0.5265(2)	0.22900 (8)	0.0525 (4)
C6	0.36542 (6)	0.3810(2)	0.21646 (8)	0.0465 (3)
C61	0.40640 (8)	0.2917(2)	0.20701 (11)	0.0674 (5)
C62	0.37747 (8)	0.3357(2)	0.29575 (10)	0.0633 (5)
C7	0.28885 (7)	0.3733 (2)	0.13395 (9)	0.0511 (4)
C8	0.26834 (6)	0.43757 (15)	0.05385 (8)	0.0435 (3)
C8a	0.31186 (6)	0.54523 (13)	0.06640 (7)	0.0373 (3)
C9	0.29276 (5)	0.60729 (13)	-0.01366 (7)	0.0353 (3)
C9a	0.33771 (5)	0.72772 (13)	0.01457 (7)	0.0354 (3)
C1′	0.30496 (6)	0.50982 (13)	-0.05780 (7)	0.0392 (3)
C2′	0.26017 (6)	0.48387 (14)	-0.14736 (8)	0.0450 (3)
C3′	0.27833 (8)	0.4007(2)	-0.18217 (9)	0.0615 (4)
C4′	0.34165 (8)	0.3398 (2)	-0.12745 (11)	0.0715 (4)
C5′	0.38741 (8)	0.3644 (2)	-0.03918 (12)	0.0705 (5)
C6'	0.36894 (7)	0.4484(2)	-0.00549(9)	0.0531 (4)

## Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

01-C1	1.2212 (14)	C4—C4a	1.484 (2)
02'—N2'	1.218(2)	С4а—С9а	1.333 (2)
O3'-N2'	1.221 (2)	C4b—C8a	1.330 (2)
O8C8	1.217(2)	C4b—C5	1.491 (2)
N2'-C2'	1.461 (2)	C5C6	1.538 (2)
N10-C4b	1.371 (2)	C6-C61	1.526 (3)
N10-C4a	1.372 (2)	C6—C7	1.525 (2)
C1—C9a	1.469(2)	C6—C62	1.529 (2)
C1-C2	1.505 (2)	C7—C8	1.510 (2)
C2—C3	1.523 (2)	C8—C8a	1.473 (2)
C3-C31	1.531 (3)	C8a—C9	1.515 (2)
C3—C4	1.527 (2)	C9aC9	1.512 (2)
C3-C32	1.531 (2)	C9—C1′	1.527 (2)
02'-N2'-O3'	122.60 (15)	C61-C6-C7	109.90 (13)
02'N2'C2'	120.00 (13)	C61—C6—C62	108.96 (14)
O3'-N2'-C2'	117.4 (2)	C7-C6-C62	110.04 (13)
C4b-N10-C4a	118.58 (9)	C61—C6—C5	111.07 (14)
01-C1-C9a	120.25 (13)	C7C6C5	107.61 (12)
01-C1-C2	121.34 (13)	C62-C6-C5	109.24 (13)
C9a-C1-C2	118.40 (10)	C8—C7—C6	115.25 (13)
C1-C2-C3	114.86 (12)	O8—C8—C8a	120.34 (13)
C2-C3-C31	110.63 (13)	O8C8C7	121.28 (14)
C2—C3—C4	108.10 (12)	C8a-C8-C7	118.32 (11)
C31-C3-C4	109.64 (13)	C4b—C8a—C8	118.39 (12)
C2-C3-C32	110.22 (12)	C4b—C8a—C9	123.04 (12)
C31-C3-C32	109.50 (14)	C8—C8a—C9	118.57 (10)
C4—C3—C32	108.72 (13)	C4a—C9a—C1	118.17 (12)
C4a-C4-C3	111.88(11)	C4a—C9a—C9	123.23 (12)
C9a-C4a-N10	122.66 (12)	C1C9aC9	118.56(9)
C9aC4aC4	125.87 (12)	C9a—C9—C8a	108.73 (9)
N10-C4a-C4	111.47 (10)	C9a—C9—C1'	108.49(11)
C8a-C4b-N10	122.96 (12)	C8aC9C1'	110.69(11)
C8a-C4b-C5	125.67 (13)	C6'C1'C9	117.45 (11)
N10C4bC5	111.37 (10)	C2'_C1'_C9	126.45 (11)
C4b-C5-C6	112.02 (12)		

## Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ )

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
0.27280 (5)	0.79254 (12)	-0.13038 (6)	0.0572 (3)
0.15884 (6)	0.5656 (2)	-0.19088 (8)	0.0775 (5)
0.16826 (8)	0.5629 (2)	-0.28462 (7)	0.0910 (5)
0.21588 (5)	0.40519(13)	-0.01950 (7)	0.0623 (3)
0.19143 (7)	0.54248 (14)	-0.21117 (7)	0.0560 (4)
0.40824 (5)	0.68628 (11)	0.16619(5)	0.0372 (3)
	x 0.27280 (5) 0.15884 (6) 0.16826 (8) 0.21588 (5) 0.19143 (7) 0.40824 (5)	x y   0.27280 (5) 0.79254 (12)   0.15884 (6) 0.5656 (2)   0.16826 (8) 0.5629 (2)   0.21588 (5) 0.40519 (13)   0.19143 (7) 0.54248 (14)   0.40824 (5) 0.68628 (11)	$\begin{array}{cccccc} x & y & z \\ 0.27280  (5) & 0.79254  (12) & -0.13038  (6) \\ 0.15884  (6) & 0.5656  (2) & -0.19088  (8) \\ 0.16826  (8) & 0.5629  (2) & -0.28462  (7) \\ 0.21588  (5) & 0.40519  (13) & -0.01950  (7) \\ 0.19143  (7) & 0.54248  (14) & -0.21117  (7) \\ 0.40824  (5) & 0.68628  (11) & 0.16619  (5) \end{array}$

## Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

$D$ — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H	$\mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$		
C3'-H3'···O1	0.93	2.51	3.338 (2)	147.8(2)		
C4'—H4'···O3''	0.93	2.45	3.255 (3)	144.6(3)		
С9—Н9∙ ∙ ∙О2′	0.98	2.21	2.926(1)	128.8 (1)		
Symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} - z$ .						

The structure of the title compound was solved by direct methods. Refinement was based on full-matrix least-squares methods, with anisotropic displacement parameters for all nonH atoms and isotropic displacement parameters for H atoms. All H atoms were included at calculated positions and refined using a riding model, each with an isotropic displacement parameter equal to 1.5 times  $U_{eq}$  of the attached C or N atom.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software. Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: MolEN (Fair, 1990). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 1996a) and PLUTON (Spek, 1996b). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

The authors are grateful to F. J. Pérez for determination of the mass spectra, and F. J. Martínez for <sup>1</sup>H NMR measurements and helpful discussions. The Plà de Recerca de Catalunya (grant GRQ95-8036) is thanked for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1390). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Bundule, M. F., Bisenieks, E. A., Kemme, A. A., Bleidelis, Ya. Ya., Dubur, G. Ya. & Uldrikis, Ya. R. (1980). *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.* 5, 666–672.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Desiraju, G. R. (1991). Acc. Chem. Res. 24, 290-296.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Greenhill, J. V. (1977). Chem. Soc. Rev. 6, 277-289. Martínez, R., Espinosa-Pérez, G. & Brito-Arias, M. (1995). J. Chem.
- *Crystallogr.* **25**, 201–203. North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1969). *Acta Cryst.*
- A24, 351–359.
- Reisch, J. & Gunaherath, G. M. (1993). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 30, 1677–1678.
- Schipchandler, M. T. & Mattingly, P. G. (1990). *Heterocycles*, **31**, 555–559.
- Selladurai, S., Subramanian, K. & Natarajan, S. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1346-1348.
- Selladurai, S., Subramanian, K. & Ramakrishnan, V. T. (1990). J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 20, 227-232.
- Shanmugasundram, P., Murugan, P., Ramakrishnan, V. T., Srividya, N. & Ramamurthy, P. (1996). *Heteroatom Chem.* **7**, 17-22.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shutske, G. M., Pierrat, F. A., Kapples, K. J., Cornfeldt, M. L., Szewczak, M. R., Huger, F. P., Bores, G. M., Haroutunian, V. & Davis, K. L. (1989). J. Med. Chem. 32, 1805–1813
- Sivaraman, J., Subramanian, K., Velmurugan, D., Subramanian, E. & Ramakrishnan, V. T. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 2011–2013.
- Sivaraman, J., Subramanian, K., Velmurugan, D., Subramanian, E. & Shanmugasundram, P. S. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 481-483.
- Spek, A. L. (1996a). PLATON. Program for Analysis of Derived Crystal Structure Results. Version of March 1996. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Spek, A. L. (1996b). PLUTON. Molecular Graphics Program. Version of June 1996. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.

© 1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2814–2818

## **Two C-Unsubstituted Enaminals**

Alexander J. Blake,  $^{a,b*}$  Hamish McNab,  $^b$  Lilian C. Monahan,  $^b$  Simon Parsons  $^b$  and Elizabeth Stevenson  $^b$ 

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, The University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, England, and <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, The University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, Scotland. E-mail: a.j.blake@nott.ac.uk

(Received 16 April 1996; accepted 28 May 1996)

## Abstract

In both 3-(N,N-diisopropylamino)-2-propenal, C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>17</sub>-NO, (3), and 3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-quinolinyl)-2propenal, C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO, (4), the entire enaminal system (O1—C1—C2—C3—N4) is approximately planar. The angles around the N atoms in (3) and (4) sum to values near 360°, indicating planarity in both molecules. One of the two crystallographically independent molecules of (3) exhibits disorder in its isopropyl groups.

## Comment

The enaminone structural unit (1) (Greenhill, 1977) is a classic example of a 'push-pull' substituted alkene, yet surprisingly little crystallographic information is available for simple derivatives of this conjugated system. Most enaminone structures which have been reported involve derivatives which have substituents either in the 1- (Carugo, Castellani & Rizzi, 1990) or 2-position (Mague, De & Krogstad, 1995; Niederhauser, Sterchi & Neuenschwander, 1976). Structures of 1,2-disubstituted (Arriortua et al., 1992; Peralta et al., 1995) and 1,3disubstituted (Emsley, Freeman, Parker, Kuroda & Overill, 1987) derivatives are known, as are those in which the system is incorporated in a heterocyclic ring (e.g. Hickson et al., 1986; Blake, McNab & Monahan, 1988). The only published crystal structure of a C-unsubstituted derivative, however, is that of the  $\beta$ -naphthyl compound (2) (Chunli, Zhongheng, Heng & Youqi, 1984), but full details of this determination are not readily available. We therefore report here the structures of a typical N, N-dialkyl enaminal and an N-alkyl N-aryl derivative; simple enaminones are liquids, so for convenience we have selected the N, N-diisopropyl compound (3) and the tetrahydroquinoline structure (4). In order to avoid possible complications due to hydrogen bonding at this stage, we have specifically focused on N, N-disubstituted examples for these determinations.